

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,737	08/04/2003	Timothy R. Lang	904.002	5593	
7590 07/26/2005 .			EXAMINER		
LISA A. BRZYCKI			GOODWIN, JEANNE M		
Gehrke & Asso 123 North 86th	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Wauwatosa, WI 53226			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A · H								
41-41-	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		0/633,737	LANG, TIMOTHY	R.				
		aminer	Art Unit					
		anne-Marguerite Goodwin	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	ommunication appear	s on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended peric Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply with aximum statutory period will ap d for reply will, by statute, caus e months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply in the statutory minimum of thirty (3) ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>original c</u>	n 8/4/2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL .	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,								
closed in accordance with th	e practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe	· · · ———							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
. —	ected to by the Exam	mer. Note the attached O	mee Action of John 1	0-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the	ne of: priority documents ha	ve been received.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified	•	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stage				
application from the In				g-				
* See the attached detailed Offi	•		ceived.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC) 		_	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)						

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,748,568 to Harrison [hereinafter Harrison '568].

As per claim 1: Harrison discloses a timepiece in Figs. 5a-5d illustrating a display face including a first color on the face corresponding to a current predetermined measurement of time and a second color on the face corresponding to a consecutive predetermined measurement of time, wherein the second color fills the face clockwise as an interval of time elapses.

As per claim 2: Furthermore, Harrison illustrates the first color being a current hour (Fig. 5a, hour 12), the second color is a consecutive hour and the interval of time corresponds to minutes (Fig. 5a, 7 minutes).

As per claim 3: Furthermore, Harrison illustrates a line being created by the intersection of the first color with the second color on the display face, wherein the position of the line along the display face indicates the number of minutes that have passed in the current hour, and wherein the line is not displayed on the face when 60 minutes have elapsed and the face is filled entirely with the second color.

As per claim 4: Furthermore, the display face of Harrison appears to be circular in shape.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,737

Art Unit: 2841

As per claim 8: Furthermore, the timepiece of Harrison is in fact a watch.

As per claims 19 and 20: The method steps will be met during the normal operation of the device stated above.

Page 3

3. Claims 9, 10, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CH 683664 to Blagojevic.

As per claim 9: Blagojevic discloses a color timepiece comprising a display face including a color time field having a first axis representing a first unit of time (the second element the strip inside the first and crossing approximately the centre, wherein it changes color at the passing of each hour) and a second axis representing a second unit of time (the third element being positioned similarly to the second and is divided into five sections and changes color continuously to display the minutes), wherein a colored section fills the color time field as time elapses and wherein a current color displayed in the colored section is one color in a set of different colors (see Figs. 1-12) that correspond to a predetermined measurement of time.

As per claim 10: Furthermore, Blagojevic's predetermined measurement of time is of the different hours in a day.

As per claim 14: Furthermore, Blagojevic's timepiece is a watch.

As per claim 17: Furthermore, Blagojevic's timepiece further comprising a first set of indicia along the first axis marking intervals of the first unit of time and a second set of indicia along the second axis marking intervals of the second unit of time (see Fig. 1).

As per claim 18: Furthermore, Blagojevic's timepiece first axis is substantially different than the length of the second axis

Application/Control Number: 10/633,737 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 9, 10, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 4135514 to Kuehnhanss.

As per claim 9: Kuehnhanss discloses a time indicator in Fig. 7 having a display face including a color time field having a first axis representing a first unit of and a second axis representing a second unit of time, wherein a colored section fills the color time field as time elapses and wherein a current color displayed in the colored section is one color in a set of different colors that correspond to a predetermined measurement of time.

As per claim 10: Furthermore, Kuehnhanss' predetermined measurement of time is of the different hours in a day.

As per claim 14: Furthermore, Kuehnhanss' timepiece is a watch.

As per claim 17: Furthermore, Kuehnhanss' timepiece further comprising a first set of indicia along the first axis marking intervals of the first unit of time and a second set of indicia along the second axis marking intervals of the second unit of time (see Fig. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison '568 in view of US Pub. 2003/0193842 to Harrison [hereinafter Harrison '842].

As per claims 5 and 15: Harrison discloses a timepiece comprising a color-to-hour matrix in which 24 colors are assigned to 24 hours of the day (see paragraph [0196]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the single hour color assembly of Harrison '568, with the color-to-hour matrix, as taught by Harrison '842, in order to eliminate the need for an a.m./p.m. indicator, as already suggested by Harrison '842.

7. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison '568 in view of US Patent 4,206,592 to Maue.

As per claims 6 and 16: Maue discloses a timepiece using 12 distinguishable colors (see column 5, lines 5-7) to represent one hour of a 12-hour period. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the single hour color assembly of Harrison '568, with the 12 distinguishable color hour assembly, as taught Maue, in order to be able to indicate time by color, as already suggested by Maue.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2841

The reference to Kuehnhanss shows a timepiece having a display grid having hours, minutes and seconds indicia, wherein the minutes and seconds are on the same axis. Applicant's claimed invention display's the hours by the use a particular color with each hour in a day and enables a person to tell time without the use of any numerical indicia. Furthermore, the timepiece of Kuehnhanss does not have a indicia less color coded hour display and there seems to be no motivation to modify the device shown in Kuehnhanss to accommodate a indicia less color coded hour display for displaying the hour of the day.

The reference to Blagojevic shows a timepiece having a display grid shows a timepiece having a display grid having hours, minutes and seconds indicia, wherein the minutes and seconds are on the different axis. Applicant's claimed invention display's the hours by the use a particular color with each hour in a day and enables a person to tell time without the use of any numerical indicia. Furthermore, the timepiece of Blagojevic does not have a indicia less color coded hour display and there seems to be no motivation to modify the device shown in Blagojevic to accommodate a indicia less color coded hour displaying the hour of the day.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 disclose related devices. US Patent 6,711,101 to Bornovski discloses a segmented color display and timepiece; US Patent 5,214,624 to Siebrasse display device having a scale; US Patent 4,707,141 and '615, US Patent 5,642,335 to Taylor discloses a color display timepiece; US Patent 5,526,327 to Cordova, Jr. discloses a spatial displacement time display; and US Patent 6,249,486 to Chitturi discloses a linear time display;

Art Unit: 2841

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jeanne-Marguerite Goodwin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9am-6pm), alternate Fridays off. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2861.

JMG July 20, 2005

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800